

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgania 72313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/595,415	06/16/2000	Hitoshi Seki	9651/4017	1580	
757	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			AHMED, SHAMIM		
P.O. BOX 103 CHICAGO, II	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1765		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	b-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/595,415	SEKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shamim Ahmed	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
· / -	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) Claim(s) 1,4-12 and 17-19 is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
. upor roto/man batto			<u> </u>				

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/26/04 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 19 depends on claim 17 and resulting not further limit the subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,415

Art Unit: 1765

14

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okinaka (4,349,411).

As to claims 1 and 18, Okinaka disclose a composition for etching copper comprising an aqueous solution of an oxidizing agent, wherein the oxidizing agent is monopersulfate such as potassium hydrogen peroxomonosulfate (kHSO₅) and a complexing agent such as glycine (amino acetic acid), which is a derivative of acetic acid and broadly reads on the claimed generic form of acetic acid (col.1, lines 65-68 and col.2, lines 34-45 and lines 53-col.3, line 3).

Okinaka fails to disclose the exact concentration of the oxidizing agent such as the monopersulfate compound.

However, Okinaka disclses that the concentration of the oxidizing agent is in the range of 0.001 to 0.01 molar (equates up to 1.52%) and higher concentration may be used (col. 2, lines 45-49).

Application/Control Number: 09/595,415

Art Unit: 1765

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of claimed invention would have been motivated to optimize the concentration to an optimum value for increasing the etching rate at a desired value.

Okinaka teaches that complexing agent is used to prevent precipitation of copper ions in the solution in order to maintain the etching solution in active form during the etching process (col.2, lines 31-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious that the etching solution will etch the copper at approximately uniform rate during the etching process because the etching activity of the solution is maintained by introducing the complexing agent as taught by Okinaka.

As to claims 17 and 19, Okinawa's composition is capable of selectively etching copper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/595,415

Art Unit: 1765

1

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA June 10, 2004